

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/667,402	09/23/2003	Zahid Hussain Ayub	9363	
7590 08/17/2004			EXAMINER	
Zahid H. Ayub			JIANG, CHEN WEN	
3305 Thorntree Court Arlington, TX 76016			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	
		DATE MAILED: 08/17/2004		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/667,402	AYUB, ZAHID HUSSAIN
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
	Chen-Wen Jiang	3744
The MAILING DATE of this communication ap Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a rep - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailir earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply ly within the statutory minimum of thirty (3 will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS e, cause the application to become ABANI	be timely filed 0) days will be considered timely. S from the mailing date of this communication. DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 23 S	September 2003.	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ Thi	s action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for allowa	ance except for formal matters	s, prosecution as to the merits is
closed in accordance with the practice under	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 1	1, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1-8 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 5 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o 	awn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9)⊠ The specification is objected to by the Examin	er.	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 23 September 2003 is.	/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ c	bjected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance	. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the E		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureat* * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	its have been received. Its have been received in Appority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	lication No ceived in this National Stage
Attachment(s)		
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Sum	
 Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08 Paper No(s)/Mail Date 20030923. 		Aail Date mal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/667,402 Page 2

Art Unit: 3744

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

1. Claim 5 is objected to because of the following informalities: Parenthesis is not allowed in the claim. It is not clear how the sub-chamber cross-sectional area substantially equal to the cross-sectional area of the tubes because it is disclosed in the specification and the direction of the cross-sectional area is not specified. Appropriate clarification and correction are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 3. Claims 1-8 are rejected as failing to define the invention in the manner required by 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph.

The claim(s) are narrative in form and replete with indefinite and functional or operational language. The structure which goes to make up the device must be clearly and positively specified. The structure must be organized and correlated in such a manner as to present a complete operative device. The claim(s) must be in one sentence form only. Note the format of the claims in the patent(s) cited.

- 4. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 5. The claims are generally narrative and indefinite, failing to conform with current U.S. practice. Claims 1-4 are independent claims but probably should be dependent claims.

Art Unit: 3744

6. An examination of this application reveals that applicant is unfamiliar with patent prosecution procedure, especially about **claims**. While an inventor may prosecute the application, lack of skill in this field usually acts as a liability in affording the maximum protection for the invention disclosed. Applicant is advised to secure the services of a registered patent attorney or agent to prosecute the application, since the value of a patent is largely dependent upon skilled preparation and prosecution. The Office cannot aid in selecting an attorney or agent.

Applicant is advised of the availability of the publication "Attorneys and Agents Registered to Practice Before the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office." This publication is for sale by the Superintendent of Documents, U.S. Government Printing Office, Washington, D.C. 20402.

7. The following rejections are based on the best understanding of the claimed limitations.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

8. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 9. Claims 1,2,3,4,6 and 7 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Ayub (U.S. Patent Number 4,805,694).

Ayub discloses a heat exchanger as shown in Figs.1-3. Heat exchanger 10 includes a shell 12 with a wall 14 having an outer surface 16 and an inner surface 18, a generally cylindrically shaped chamber 20, a treatment fluid inlet port 22 through wall 14 to chamber 20, and a

Art Unit: 3744

treatment fluid discharge port 24. Shell 12 has a first end 26 with a first flange 28, and a second end 30 with a second flange 32. First bonnet 36 includes inner surface 40, refrigerant inlet 44 and refrigerant discharge outlet 46. Baffle plates 56,58 and 66 may be mounted to respective flanges 28 and 32 and the inner surfaces 40 and 48 of bonnets 36 and 38. Secondary baffles 72, 78 and 84 in the respective chambers 62, 68 and 70 define the noted fluid flow gaps 76, 82 and 88 in elliptical bonnets 28 and 32.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 10. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 11. Claim 8 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ayub (U.S. Patent Number 4,805,694) in view of Monroe et al. (U.S. Patent Number 2,762,611).

Ayub discloses the invention substantially as claimed. However, Ayub does not disclose refrigerant inlet and outlet are not on the same bonnet. Monroe et al. disclose inlet and outlet at opposite ends. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to provide the apparatus of Ayub with inlet and outlet at opposite end in view of Monroe et al. so as to have different direction of inlet and outlet.

Conclusion

12. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Iritani et al. (U.S. 2002/0157417) is made of record as relevant prior art.

Art Unit: 3744

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Chen-Wen Jiang whose telephone number is (703) 308-0275. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Friday from 7:00 to 5:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on (703) 308-2597. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Chen-Wen Jiang Primary Examiner